

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration is requested.

By this Amendment, claim 7 has been amended. Claims 1-7 remain pending.

Claims 1-6 have been allowed by the Examiner.

Claim 7 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. This rejection is traversed for the following reasons. The Examiner asserted that the word “different” is vague and thus renders the claim indefinite. In order to expedite examination, the word “different” has been removed from the claim.

It is submitted that claim 7, including the recitation of a frequency conversion circuit for converting an inputted first cycle binarized wobble signal based on a first optical disc standard into a second cycle binarized wobble signal based on a second optical disc standard, is not disclosed or suggested by the prior art of record.

In view of the above, it is submitted that claims 1-7 are allowable over the prior art of record, and that the present application is in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Kiyotaka TANAKA et al.

/Jeffrey R. Filipek/

By 2010.03.08 13:02:47 -05'00'

Jeffrey R. Filipek
Registration No. 41,471
Attorney for Applicants

JRF/fs
Washington, D.C. 20005-1503
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
March 8, 2010